

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.ispic.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/298,763	04/23/1999	RAHN WOOD	2415		
7:	590 06/06/2002				
Kenyon & Kenyon			EXAMINER		
ONE BROAD\ NEW YORK, I			CHAMPAGNE, DONALD		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3622	/ 1	
•			DATE MAILED: 06/06/2002	13	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	on No.	Applicant(s)		
	-	09/298,7	63	WOOD ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit		
			. Champagne	3622		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is signs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory preserved for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no evon. a reply within the state eriod will apply and vistatute, cause the apply.	vent, however, may a reply be tir tutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from olication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. & 133)		
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	17 January 20	<u> </u>			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is	non-final.			
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un on of Claims	llowance excep nder <i>Ex parte</i> C	ot for formal matters, p Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.		
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the applica	ation.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election r	requirement			
	on Papers		- qu.,			
9)[] 7	The specification is objected to by the Exan	miner.				
10)🛛 7	The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 April 1999</u> is/are:	: a)⊠ accepted	or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection t	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) 🔲 🏾	The proposed drawing correction filed on $_$	is: a) <u></u> a	pproved b) disappro	oved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required i	in reply to this O	ffice action.			
12)∐ Т	he oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).		
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have bee	en received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	 Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for a 	priority documo	ents have been receive Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National Stage		
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dom					
a) 15)∐ A	☐ The translation of the foreign language cknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional ap	plication has been rec	eived.		
Attachment						
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No		4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		
Patent and Tra O-326 (Rev		ce Action Summa	nv	Part of Paper No. 13		





Application/Control Number: 09/298,763

Art Unit: 3622

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 17 January 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Specific arguments are discussed with the following final rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 and 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 USC 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 USC 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-25 and 32-39 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as anticipated by Reilly et al.
- 5. Reilly et al. teaches a computer-implemented method and system for interactively and electronically distributing and redeeming rewards, the method comprising: displaying a headline image on a computer screen of a local computer, e.g., 230a in Fig. 6, the image comprising a reward indicator (col. 9 line 65 to col. 10 line 4), wherein the local computer is coupled to a computer network (Internet 119, col. 4 line 10); enabling a user to select an image (col. 13 lines 3-6); at a central location 104 coupled to the computer network, determining the identity of the user (col. 14 lines 24 and 50-58); at the central location, allocating to the user information associated with the image and in which the user has expressed interest (col. 14 line 58 to col. 15 line 4), which reads on allocating to the user a reward; and optionally redirecting the local computer to content associated with the image.





Art Unit: 3622

- 6. Applicant argues (last para. on p. 4) that reference col. 9, line 65, to col. 10 line 4, does not teach a "reward indicator". MPEP § 2111.01 requires applicant to provide a "clear definition" of terms with special meaning. A "clear definition" must unambiguously establish what is and what is not included. It is helpful if definitions are gathered in a section labeled definitions, or are preceded by phrases such as "by xxx we mean"; "xxx is defined as"; or "xxx includes, ... but does not include ...". In the instant case, the examiner is required to give the term its broadest reasonable interpretation (MPEP § 2111), which the examiner judges to be any indicator of a reward. Furthermore, examiner interprets "reward" to be anything desirable offered to the user. The information associated with the headline 230a is certainly desirable, so it reads on a reward, and headline 230a reads on a reward indicator. Headline 230a is also the "image" associated with the award.
- 7. Applicant also argues (p. 5 bottom) that "The claim language clearly represents that the 'reward' and the 'content' are two different features of the claim." Examiner agrees that the claim language (last four lines, beginning at "at the central location") represents that "reward" and "content" are two different structural features of the claim. But the reference reads on these two features. In terms of reference col. 4 lines 50-65, the "reward" is the primary component and the "content" is the secondary component.
- 8. Applicant also argues (p. 6) that the reference does not teach the claim 20 limitation to a database storing a list of available offers, and the claim 17 limitation to providing the user with a list of rewards associated with the user. Both lists are the same *list ... of categories and subcategories* taught by the reference at col. 4 line 43 and col. 9 lines 37-46.
- 9. Reilly et al. also teaches a profiler (col. 3 lines 15-16), which reads on a cookie; determining if the local computer comprises a datafile (claim 4, col. 14 lines 50-58); providing the local computer with the address of a promoter website (claims 5 and 8, col. 6 lines 5-6), and allowing the user to view information at that website, which reads on redeeming the reward at the promoter website; and updating the data feed, which reads on expiring the redeemed award (claim 9).
- 10. <u>Applicant also argues</u> (p. 6 bottom) that the reference does not teach the claim 16 limitation to printing/redeeming a reward at a physical location. That is taught by the "Print" button at the lower-left corner of Fig. 10. Applicant also argues (p. 6 bottom) that the reference does



Application/Control Number: 09/298,763

Art Unit: 3622

not teach the claims 2, 3 and 23 limitation to cookies. As noted in the last paragraph, the reference teaches a profiler (col. 3 lines 15-16), which reads on a cookie.

- 11. Claims 26-31 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as obvious over Reilly et al.
- 12. Reilly et al. does not teach the user device limitations of these claims (ATM, PDA, etc.).

 Official Notice is taken (MPEP § 2144.03) that these limitations were common at the time of the invention. In addition, Reilly et al. teaches implementing the invention as a screensaver (col. 11 line 40), which would attract users to any user device. Hence it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to add these user devices to the reference invention.

Suggestion of Allowable Subject Matter

- 13. The specifiction (p. 7 lines 3-5) discloses collecting rewards by clicking on an advertisement. This is not taught or suggested by Reilly et al. Hence, the present rejection could be overcome by amending claim 1, line 4, to read "displaying an <u>advertising</u> image", and making similar amendments to the other independent claims.
- 14. Applicant is cautioned that an allowance could not be considered until this or any other amenmdent were searched.

Conclusion

- 15. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 16. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.



Application/Control Number: 09/298,763

Art Unit: 3622

- 17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald L Champagne whose telephone number is 703-308-3331. The examiner can normally be reached from 6:30 AM to 5 PM ET, Monday to Thursday. The examiner can also be contacted by e-mail at donald.champagne@uspto.gov, and informal fax communications may be sent directly to the examiner at 703-746-5536.
- 18. The examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber, can be reached on 703-305-8469. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for regular official communications and 703-746-7238 for After Final official communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.
- 19. ABANDONMENT If examiner cannot by telephone verify applicant's intent to continue prosecution, the application is subject to abandonment six months after mailing of the last Office action. The agent, attorney or applicant point of contact is responsible for assuring that the Office has their telephone number. Agents and attorneys may verify their registration information including telephone number at the Office's web site, www.uspto.gov. At the top of the home page, click on Site Index. Then click on Agent & Attorney Roster in the alphabetic list, and search for your registration by your name or number.

18 March 2002

Donald L. Champagne Examiner

Art Unit 2162